



Arkansas Insurance Department
Health Benefits Exchange Partnership Division



Arkansas Department of Human Services
Arkansas Medicaid

Numbering continued from revised Plan Management Questions and Answers #1 as of June 24, 2013

General Questions

Q28: Will the extending of an individual policy by changing the renewal date allowed under the bulletin issued last year extend to small groups?

A28: Yes, but the carrier will have to file an amendment to the policy form and a rider to the group policy.

Dental

Q29: Is pediatric orthodontia a required part of the pediatric dental benefits?

A29: No.

Q30: If a medical carrier embeds the pediatric dental, can they have a separate deductible for the medical and the pediatric dental?

A30: Yes, as long as the total combined deductible does not exceed the overall limit.

Q31: Are there preventive services for pediatric dental?

A31: The "preventive services" aspect of the QHP is part of the Public Health Services Act and dental plans are not subject to that Act. Therefore, only if the pediatric dental benchmark plan required coverage of what would otherwise be identified under USPSTF as a preventive dental service would a dental plan have to cover that preventive service

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

Q32: Does a SADP have to have the same price for a product that is offered both on and off the exchange?

A32: No. As an "excepted benefit" off the exchange, there is flexibility for plans offered off the exchange such that the pricing does not have to be the same.

Q33: Can a SADP use the "gold" and "silver" names in association with its 85% and 70% AV products?

A33: Yes. The "gold" and "silver" names can be used, however, there is concern that a consumer might be misled with the "gold" reference since the AV for medical and dental at that level are different. However, if member literature explains that the "gold" is at an 85% AV in order to avoid confusion then there should not be an issue.

Q34: Dental carriers have not historically contracted with facilities/clinics. The Essential Community Providers (ECP) requirement suggests this would have to change. Will Arkansas accept the ECP listing of ECPs even if there is no contract as long as the SADP includes a list of the individual dentists within the ECP with it's filing.

A34: Only facilities should be listed on the ECP template, not individual providers. If an issuer of a Stand-Alone Dental Plan does not believe the ECP standard can be met due to the limited number of dental-specific ECP providers, then the issuer should submit a narrative justification using the ECP Supplemental Response Form to describe why the standard cannot be met and how the existing network would provide access for low-income and medically underserved populations. If an issuer of a SADP contracts with ECP-like providers, but not the facilities listed on the list, then this should also be described in the ECP Supplemental Response Form. For the submission of the ECP template, please follow the instructions for entering "dummy data" on page 9 of the Chapter 7 Instructions for the Essential Community Providers Application section if you do not have any dental ECPs to submit. The Chapter 15 SADP Application Instructions also contain additional information.

EHB

Q35: Are issuers required to cover any weight loss surgical procedures?

A35: Issuers are not required to cover weight loss surgical procedures.

Q36: Is the coverage of barbiturates, benzodiazepines, and agents used to promote smoking cessation required?

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

A36: Based on conversations with carriers regarding this issue, AID has determined that most if not all carriers intend to cover these pharmacological classes in their QHP formularies (in fact carriers must cover smoking cessation agents as part of the EHB.) As part of its plan review and certification process, AID will review QHP formularies to determine whether there are any gaps in coverage of these classes of drugs.

Q37: Are ambulance services part of the emergency services that have to be covered at in-network cost-sharing even if they're out of network?

A37: Yes, according to 42 USC Sec. 18022:

E) provide that a qualified health plan shall not be treated as providing coverage for the essential health benefits described in paragraph (1) unless the plan provides that—

(i) coverage for emergency department services will be provided without imposing any requirement under the plan for prior authorization of services or any limitation on coverage where the provider of services does not have a contractual relationship with the plan for the providing of services that is more restrictive than the requirements or limitations that apply to emergency department services received from providers who do have such a contractual relationship with the plan; and

(ii) if such services are provided out-of-network, the cost-sharing requirement (expressed as a copayment amount or coinsurance rate) is the same requirement that would apply if such services were provided in-network;

Q38: Is coverage of Autism Spectrum Disorders required for individual plans, or only group plans?

Q38: Arkansas code requires autism coverage in group plans. However, federal law required the States to pick a plan from the small group plans to be the benchmark plan for purposes of identifying essential health benefits for the individual and small group markets. As such, autism is now required to be covered in individual and small group policies as an EHB subject to the EHB rules.

Private Option

Q39: What is "Private Option"?

A39: The "Private Option" refers to the program established by the Arkansas Healthcare Independence Act. The intent of the Private Option is to create a fiscally sustainable, cost-effective, and opportunity-driven program utilizing competitive and value-based purchasing to maximize available service options; promote accountability, personal responsibility and transparency; encourage and

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

reward healthy outcomes and responsible choices; and promote efficiencies that will deliver value to Arkansans. The Act is expressly written to “improve access to quality health care...attract insurance carriers and enhance competition in the Arkansas Marketplace...[and] promote individually owned health insurance.” See Act 1498 of 2013, p.3. Through authority granted by the Health Care Independence Act and using the Medicaid premium assistance model, Arkansas Medicaid will purchase QHPs doing business in the Marketplace for certain Medicaid eligible beneficiaries. In 2014, Private Option eligible individuals will include childless adults between the ages of 19 and 65 with incomes below 138% of the federal poverty level (FPL) who are not enrolled in Medicare and parents between the ages of 19 and 65 with incomes between 17% of the FPL and 138 % FPL who are not enrolled in Medicare. Individuals who have been determined disabled or who have been determined to be more effectively covered under the standard Medicaid program (such as an individual who is medically frail or other individuals for whom coverage through the Health Insurance Marketplace is determined to be impractical, overly complex or would undermine continuity or effectiveness of care) will not be eligible for the Private Option.

Q40: How will the administration of the private option retroactive and pre-QHP enrollment coverage (Slide 10 of presentation made during the May 13) handle beneficiary out-of-pocket expenses incurred during the retroactive period?

A40: Medicaid is in the process of determining Medicaid payment operational processes.

Q41: The Federally Facilitated Marketplace has announced a 3.5% user fee. Is this fee applicable to the Private Option?

A41: CMS has confirmed that the 3.5% user fee does not apply to the Private Option.

Q42: The FFE Exchange Fee will not apply to Private Option members.

A42: Correct

Q43: The Risk Corridor program will apply to the Private Option.

A43: Correct

Q44: LTC is not an EHB, and we will not be required to cover it.

A44: Correct- New High Value Silver Plan sample AV template has LTC removed.

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

Q45: TCM is not an EHB, and we will not be required to cover it.

A45: Correct- New High Value Silver Plan sample AV template has TCM removed.

Q46: We will not be required to cover OON prescription drugs.

A46: Correct

Q47: We will not be required to reduce OON cost-sharing amounts for Silver CSVs.

A47: Correct.

Q48: We will not be required to reduce OON cost-sharing amounts for the Zero Cost Sharing Silver Plan Variation and the High-Value Silver Plan Variation.

A48: You will not need to reduce OON benefit levels for the various cost sharing plans.

Q49: Who are the Medically Frail?

A49: For individuals who are eligible for the Private Option, enrollment in a QHP will be mandatory. Individuals who are determined to be medically frail/have exceptional medical needs are not eligible for the Private Option and such individuals will be excluded from enrolling in QHPs. Individuals excluded from enrolling in QHPs through the Private Option as a result of medical frailty/exceptional medical needs will be eligible for coverage under Title XIX and will have the option of receiving either the ABP or the standard Medicaid benefit package through the State Plan.

Arkansas will institute a process to determine whether an individual is medically frail/has exceptional medical needs—such as individuals who would benefit from long-term services and supports and targeted outreach and care coordination through the State’s emerging plans to establish health homes and to provide services through the Community First Choice state plan option.

Arkansas has engaged consultants from the University of Michigan to develop a questionnaire with fifteen to twenty questions to assess whether an individual may be medically frail/have exceptional medical needs (“the Screening Tool”). The Screening Tool will be conducted online (unless an individual

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

requests a paper copy) and will consist of yes/no answers to a short series of questions that focus on a person's use of long term supports and services and mental health resources, and presence of complex medical conditions. Responses will be entered into software that will calculate whether the person meets the medically frail/exceptional medical needs criteria. Downstream refinements to the questionnaire algorithm will occur as data accumulates and individual screening results are compared with actual utilization patterns.

The medical frailty/exceptional medical needs screening process is meant to be prospective at the time of enrollment and will be conducted annually by Arkansas Medicaid. Self-attestation to the questions in the Screening Tool will be accepted in year one. In the case of false negatives and for individuals with emerging medical needs that lead to a predictable and significant need for additional benefits during the plan year, Medicaid will develop a process for making mid-year transitions to traditional Medicaid. The State may also develop a process to monitor claims experience to identify individuals who were initially identified as medically frail/having exceptional medical needs but no longer appear to meet those criteria.

The exact details of the process will differ slightly depending on whether an individual applies for the Private Option through the federally facilitated marketplace (FFM) or through the State's eligibility system.

- Individuals Applying through FFM: After the FFM determines that an individual is eligible for Medicaid, the State will send a notice informing the individual that he/she appears to be eligible for the Private Option. The notice will, among other things, direct individuals who appear Private Option eligible to the State portal where they will first see the Screening Tool described above. If the answers on the Screening Tool indicate that the individual is not medically frail/has exceptional medical needs, the individual will move on to shopping and enrollment through the State's eligibility system. If the results of the Screening Tool indicate that the individual is medically frail/has exceptional medical needs, instead of advancing to the shopping and enrollment pages, the individual will be given the option of receiving either standard Medicaid benefits or the ABP through fee-for-service Medicaid.
- Individuals Applying through the State's Eligibility System: Immediately after an individual is determined to be Medicaid-eligible, the individual will be asked to complete the Screening Tool. Once the individual completes the Screening Tool, the individual will be directed to shopping and enrollment, if not determined to be medically frail/have exceptional medical needs, or will be given the option of receiving either standard Medicaid benefits or the ABP through fee-for-service Medicaid.

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

The State will comply with all requirements set forth in Section 1937 of the Social Security Act, including, but not limited to, ensuring that all individuals determined to be medically frail, as well as individuals in other ABP-exempt populations identified in Section 1937 of the Social Security Act, will be given the option to receive through fee-for-service Medicaid either the ABP or the standard Medicaid benefit package.

Q50: Will the GUIDES and CAC and Agents/Brokers be aware to enroll the above and other Medically Frail on the traditional Medicaid?

A50: As a part of the enrollment process, all types of assisters will be made aware of this step in the Private Option enrollment process. As the Medical Frailty screen is a consumer self-administered questionnaire, navigators, guides, CACs and other application assisters will not be administering the Medically Frail screen directly.

Q51: Will the enrollment process include questions to determine if the person meets the medically frail definition and then directs the member to enroll in Medicaid?

A51: Yes. As noted above, the medically frail screen will be applied after an individual has been determined to be eligible for Medicaid in the new adult group and before he or she enrolls in a QHP.

Q52: What if a member enrolls and the carrier later finds out that the member meets the medically frail definition, how does the carrier transfer the member to Medicaid?

A52: A medical frailty screening will be conducted for all Private Option participants as a part of the enrollment process. The medically frailty screening will also be conducted annually upon re-enrollment. During a plan year, Medicaid and the participant retain the decision regarding any transfers from the Private Option to standard Medicaid due to Medical Frailty status. An individual may seek a determination of medical at any time, including after initial enrollment in a plan.

Cost Sharing

Q53: In a FEE Exchange, a silver cost-sharing reduction (CSR) variant must have the same price as the base silver plan. We plan to offer multiple base silver plan designs.

a. Should the issuer price the Zero Cost Sharing Silver Plan Variation and the High- Value Silver Plan Variation independently of a base silver plan?

Aa: No. The high value and zero cost sharing silver plan variations are not independent plans from a standard silver plan. Each high value and zero cost sharing silver plan variant should be based on a

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

standard silver plan and should vary from the standard silver plan only in cost sharing parameters. The standard silver plans and their variants should otherwise be identical, including in premium.

b. Should the issuer choose which base silver plan to associate with these variants?

Ab: See answer to Q53a, and note that issuers are required to offer all silver plan variants for all standard silver plans offered in the FFE. 45 C.F.R. § 156.420(a).

Q54: How will monthly advanced CSR payments be calculated for the Zero Cost Sharing Silver Plan Variation and the High-Value Silver Plan Variation?

A54: Issuer estimates the monthly advanced CSR payments which are reconciled at year end with Medicaid Advance monthly CSR payments will be calculated in the same way for individuals between 138 and 250% of the federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for federal CSRs and for individuals below 138% FPL enrolled in the Private Option; the only difference will be that HHS will make the federal CSR payments and Arkansas Medicaid will make the Private Option CSR payments. Under this method, issuers would, before each benefit year, estimate monthly allowed claims for essential health benefits for each standard silver plan and report this information to the Exchange (for APTC/CSR eligible enrollees) and Arkansas Medicaid (for Private Option enrollees). For the zero cost sharing plan variation, HHS or Medicaid will multiply this estimate by 1.12 to reflect induced utilization for the higher AV and then multiply that product by the difference between zero cost sharing plan variation AV and standard silver plan AV (i.e. 0.3). The same formula is used for the high-value silver plan variant, using the same induced demand factor of 1.12 and substituting 0.24 for 0.3 for the AV factor. Issuers will receive per member per month payments during the benefit year on the basis of this formula. These payments will be subject to reconciliation at the conclusion of the benefit year based on actual CSRs that are utilized. If an issuer's actuary determines during the benefit year that the estimated advance CSR payments are significantly different than the CSR payments the issuer will be entitled to at reconciliation, the issuer may ask HHS or Arkansas Medicaid to adjust the advance payments. See 45 C.F.R. § 156.430; HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2014, 78 Fed. Reg. 15410, 15487-88, 15494-95 (Mar. 11, 2013).

Q55: How will monthly advanced CSR payments for the Zero Cost Sharing Silver Plan Variation and High-Value Silver Plan Variation be reconciled against actual cost cost-sharing reduction amounts?

a. If the issuer should price these variants independently of a base silver plan, we would like to request guidance regarding reconciliation and the cost-sharing levels that will be assumed for reconciliation.

b. If an issuer may choose one and only one base plan to associate with these variants, our assumption is that the advance payments would be reconciled against the cost-sharing of the base plan.

Aa & b: At the conclusion of the benefit year, each issuer will report actual cost sharing reduction amounts to HHS (for members receiving APTCs/CSRs) and Arkansas Medicaid (for members enrolled in

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

the Private Option) to reconcile CSR amounts with the advance payments. The Arkansas Medicaid process for such reconciliations will be modeled on the HHS process. HHS has announced that issuers may choose one of two methods to calculate the actual cost sharing reductions. The standard method requires the issuer to adjudicate each claim and determine the plan's liability twice: first calculating plan liability using the standard silver plan cost sharing and a second time with reduced cost sharing under the silver plan variant. The CSR payment the issuer is entitled to is the difference between the second number and the first. The simplified methodology does not require re-adjudication of claims. Instead, issuers will enter certain basic cost sharing parameters of its silver plans into a DHHS formula that will model the amount of CSR payments, based on total incurred claims. Issuers may choose either method, but a single issuer must apply the same method to all its plans. Furthermore, if an issuer selects the standard method in 2014, it may not select the simplified method in future years. 45 C.F.R. § 156.430(c).

Q56: If a carrier must choose a base silver plan to associate with the High-Value Silver Plan Variation, will an issuer be permitted to change from a copay structure for a benefit specified in the High-Value Silver Plan Variation to a coinsurance structure for a benefit in the 87%, 73% and base silver plan variations? If so, will an actuarial certification be required to demonstrate that the copay cost-sharing for the benefit at the higher AV variant will always be less than the coinsurance cost-sharing at the lower AV variant?

A56: As discussed above, each silver plan variant will differ from a silver plan only in cost sharing. Each silver plan variant will need to comply with the requirement that cost-sharing never be lower in lower AV variants. If an actuary can certify that this condition will be satisfied, variations in cost sharing among silver plan variants can involve switching among copays and coinsurance.

Q57:

a: The AID plan specification requires out of network (OON) benefits. Our understanding is that these OON benefits must also be offered with the CSV(Cost Sharing Variant) alternatives for individuals eligible for cost sharing reductions. Based on guidance received from the AID, it is our understanding that the standard CSVs, the Zero Cost Sharing Silver Plan Variation, and the High-Value Silver Plan Variation are not required to reduce OON benefit levels from the base amount. For example a silver base plan with 70% AV in-network (IN) and a 50% AV OON, is allowed to have an 87% CSV alternative benefit design IN and a 50% AV OON.

Aa: Carriers will not need to adjust OON benefit levels at different CSVs.

b: If an issuer may choose one and only one base plan to associate with the Zero Cost Sharing Silver Plan Variation and High-Value Silver Plan Variation, our assumption is that the OON benefits from the base plan will apply to these variants. Can you confirm this assumption? If the issuer should price these

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

variants independently of a base silver plan, we ask for guidance regarding appropriate OON cost-sharing levels.

Ab: OON benefits of the base plan will apply throughout all variants. Again, carriers will not need to adjust OON benefit levels.

Premium Payment

Q58: Since the Exchange Fee of 3.5% of Premium will not apply to the private option enrollees, and the base silver premium includes this fee, how should we remove that fee from premiums?

a. Should total projected Exchange Fees be spread across premiums for all members? This would be based on an assumed enrollment distribution between members above and below 138% of the FPL.

Aa: QHP issuers offering products through the Federally Facilitated Marketplace (FFM) will be required to pay a user fee equal to 3.5% of premiums for QHP enrollments through the FFM. CMS has confirmed that the FFM user fee will not apply to Private Option enrollments. The final rule on the single risk pool requires an issuer to make a market-wide adjustment to the index rate for its plans based on total expected market-wide Exchange user fees, 45 C.F.R. § 156.80(d)(1). This means the Exchange user fee must be spread across the premium for all plans inside and out of the Exchange. Each issuer would estimate the proportion of its enrollment that will be subject to a user fee and spread that user fee across all its individual market products.

b. We note that this issue is very similar to the ACA provision which requires premium prices to be the same for identical plans sold on and off the exchange. Issuers must balance the broker commissions off the exchange with the exchange fee for plans sold on the exchange.

Ab: Commissions must be the same on/off the Exchange. Only licensed producers/brokers may be paid commissions.

Q59: What is the premium payment flow of funds?

a: Will premium information be sent on a monthly basis?

Aa: Yes, premium information will be sent monthly.

b: How often can the subsidy level change or will it be an annual determination?

Ab: It is possible that subsidy changes could be made on a monthly basis. It is envisioned that subsidy changes would occur annually. However, consumers are required to notify the Exchange and/or Medicaid whether they have experienced a change of life situation such as loss or gain of a job. These

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

particular change of life situations would trigger the consumer being eligible for shopping for new insurance and would also trigger a re-evaluation of subsidy determinations.

c: What is the reconciliation process?

Ac: Please see Q55.

d: What if the premium amount received does not match the issuer's premium?

Ad: This shouldn't happen and would be a system error, if it occurred at all.

Premium Rating

Q60: Will Tobacco-Use Factors apply for the Private Option enrollees?

a. How much of the additional premium for tobacco-use will the member have to pay?

b. Will this vary by income?

c. If tobacco-use factors may not apply to the Private Option, then will, for a specific age, the average Private Option premium be allowed to reflect the higher average cost for smoking that would exist compared to the Non-smoker Exchange premium?

A60 a,b,c: Premiums under the private option may include an additional surcharge of up to 20% for tobacco users at the issuer's discretion. If there is a tobacco rating surcharge applied, premiums will be higher for tobacco users and lower for non tobacco users. Private option participants will not pay premiums, regardless of their status as a smoker or non-smoker. On balance, the net cost of these premiums to Medicaid is not expected to have a meaningful impact as a result of the application of the smoking rating factor.

Stand-Alone Dental

Q61: We have received guidance that the Department expects several Stand-Alone Dental issuers to offer Dental plan in the Marketplace and that we will not need to embed pediatric dental into our product. As such, we will not apply to offer any plans with pediatric dental embedded.

A61: Correct

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

Q62: Are current stand-alone plans considered excepted benefits and, therefore, exempt from the ACA regulation? Does the same answer apply to stand-alone family dental plans on the Exchange?

A62: Yes, SADPs are excepted benefits under HIPAA and are exempt from ACA regulations. Yes, this applies inside and outside the Exchange. However, if sold on the Exchange, there are some additional requirements.

Q63: Are stand-alone dental plans subject to the zero-cost sharing requirement for preventive services? What about when the pediatric dental EHB is embedded in a medical plan?

A63: No, SADPs are not subject to the zero-cost sharing requirement. If the benefits are embedded in a medical plan, then they are subject to the zero-cost sharing requirement.

Q64: Are SADPs offering pediatric dental EHB on or off exchange subject to provide at least the same open enrollment and special enrollment periods as required in the Exchange?

A64: If the SADP is offered on the Exchange, then it is subject to the enrollment and special enrollment periods. If the SADP is offered off the Exchange, then it is not subject to the periods.

Q65: Will members enrolled in dental plans be allowed to change during the year from “one child” to “two child” rates and coverage, and vice versa when a child ages out?

A65: SADPs can do whatever is allowed under state law.

Q66: If a child is covered by a medical plan that has an embedded pediatric dental benefit and the family purchases a standalone dental benefit from another carrier which also has pediatric coverage, who is the primary payer?

A66: State law and current practices apply.

Q67: Can carriers sell adult only stand-alone dental plans off the Exchange? Can existing products be modified to be adult only products?

A67: Adult-only plans cannot be sold on the Exchange – all SADPs on the Exchange must have pediatric dental EHBs. Outside the Exchange adult-only plans can be sold and modified as allowed for under state law.

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

Q68: Do SADPs offered outside the Marketplace have to be filed just like health insurance outside of the Marketplace?

A68: SADPs must comply with state requirements.

Q69: What must happen for a plan to be reasonably assured that the consumer has pediatric dental?

A69: Since this is outside the Exchange, the state has wide latitude on how to define "reasonably assured", or it is up to the carrier. The carrier would be responsible if it sells to someone without dental coverage. Simultaneous enrollment and proof of coverage are good examples. SADP/Medical Plan coordination could work. Including pediatric dental is always an option.

Q70: Does a medical carrier need to be reasonably assured that an individual 19 or over with no under-19-year-old dependents has purchased a Marketplace certified stand-alone dental plan that covers the pediatric EHB?

A70: Yes, this requirement is applied to everyone outside the Exchange, not just children. Best if carriers have a plan with all benefits, and then one without pediatric dental for those who have coverage.

Q71: May a State-based Marketplace (SBM) certify plans for sale on the Marketplace solely so that a carrier can meet the "reasonable assurance" requirement?

A71: They are free to take different approaches. FFM requires SADPs to be certified by the Exchange, even if sold only off the Exchange, if they want to be a plan that covers pediatric dental EHBs.

Q72: Do off-Exchange SADP issuers need to comply with the open enrollment, special enrollment, grace period, etc. provisions as the certification attestation requires?

A72: No, they are only required for plans on the Exchange.

Q73: How much variability will be allowed for stand-alone dental plans offered outside of the Exchange from the specific plans submitted as long as AV levels are met, OOP max is met, and lifetime and annual limits are eliminated?

A73: The pediatric dental EHB provisions need to meet these standards. Other provision in the plan may vary.

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

Q74: Are certified stand-alone dental plans off the Exchange required to go to a calendar year in 2015?

A74: SADPs sold on the Exchange must move to calendar year on January 1, 2015. SADPs sold off Exchange are not required to do so.

Q75: Does a qualified stand-alone dental plan have to participate in both the individual and SHOP markets in an Exchange?

A75: No, the standard for medical plans does not apply to SADPs.

Q76: What differentiation in requirements will be allowed for web site, language translations, for SADPs?

A76: For SADPs sold on the Exchange, these requirements would apply as additional standards. Guidance is still to come.

Q77: Do QHP grace premium grace period rules apply on the Marketplace to SADPs? What if an individual receives APTC for medical but not for the stand-alone dental plan?

A77: The grace period only applies if the enrollee is receiving APTC for dental benefits.

Q78: Template question: If a company has contracts directly with ECP providers, may they submit additional information/explanation and still found to be in compliance with ECPs even if the contract is not with the entire clinic?

A78: The company can provide this information in the justifications (upload separately).

Q79: Template question: How does the 0-20 age band in the rating template work for SADPs?

A79: Annual Letter says that rating reforms do not apply. For purposes of the template, SADPs need to either guarantee the rates listed, or say that they may vary. SADPs may enter the most applicable rate for 0-20.

Q80: EHB requirements [Must be substantially equal with the benchmark for pediatric dental benefits. AV of 70% (+/- 2%) or 85% (+/- 2%). Dental plans can have different OOP, set by Exchange. No annual or lifetime limits on pediatric dental EHBs.]

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

a: Can dental issuers call their 70% and 85% plans gold and silver?

Aa: CCIIO has stated that plans may do this. However, they express concern that this could be confusing because 85% does not match a traditional gold plan as defined by statute. Federal Exchange will call them High and Low. State Exchanges can do what they want.

b: Is there flexibility in the stand-alone dental plan regulations to require plans to submit 75% and 85% instead of 70% and 85%?

Ab: No, regulation explicitly states 70% and 85%. Initial proposal was 75%, but cost and variation concerns led to 70% limit.

c: Do stand-alone dental plans with a benchmark of FEDVIP need to offer at the 85% AV only, since the default is the "high option standard" in FEDVIP?

Ac: No, the benchmark is the benefits, not the cost-sharing.

d: If a medical carrier embeds the pediatric dental, can they have a separate deductible for the medical and the pediatric dental?

Ad: It is permissible to have separately accumulated deductible and OOP, but the total for dental and medical cannot exceed the total limit.

e: How is medically necessary orthodontia defined?

Ae: Non-medically necessary orthodontia not included in EHBs. There is no guidance on the definition of "medically necessary" – it is up to issuer. If benchmark includes orthodontia, then issuer can determine medical necessity.

f: Can dental issuers offer a pediatric orthodontia rider?

Af: SADPs cannot offer as a rider if the benefit is in the benchmark and medically necessary. SADPs can offer a rider for non-medically necessary orthodontia, but it will not be listed in the federal Exchange.

g: Are waiting periods on the pediatric dental EHB permissible if there are waiting periods included in the benchmark?

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

Ag: FEDVIP has a 24-month waiting period on medically necessary orthodontia. Yes, waiting periods can be included in SADPs as long as it is substantially equivalent to the benchmark.

h: Template question: What data elements should be included in a template for the benchmark plan?

Ah: The plan sold must be substantially equal to benchmark – this includes far more info than what is in the template.

Q81: Does a child rider have to include pediatric dental EHB?

A81: No, unless they choose to be certified.

Q82: Do SADPs that are certified, but only to operate off the Exchange, have to follow enrollment periods?

A82: Off the Exchange enrollment periods do not apply.

Q83: Is there Reasonable Assurance for Adults, too?

A83: Yes, the law applies to all plans sold in the individual and small group market, not just to families with children.

Q84: Must Off Exchange plans offering pediatric benefit meet OOP and deductible?

A84: Yes, if covering the pediatric dental benefit.

Q85: Does a carrier use a different rate table for SADP?

A85: No, FFM requires use of the same template and table. The carrier can set the rate for 0-19 as an estimate, and use different when sold.

Q86: Adults can buy SADP in the Exchange?

A86: Yes, there may be additional benefits.

Please Note: This summary of questions and answers as of June 24, 2013

